





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,984	08/30/2001	Susumu Takahashi	950088B 7633	
23850 7590 03/05/2004		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			LEUBECKER, JOHN P	
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			3739	
		~	DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	· //

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

` 		A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Ådvisory Action	09/941,984	TAKAHASHI ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John P. Leubecker	3739			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The steep on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 asion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed: 19 and 71.					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4 and 58-63</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:		700			
		John Leubecker			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/941,984





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: On precursory review, claim 58 raises new issues with respect to the previous scope and examination of that claim, as well as the issue of new matter. The specification potentially does not support the "at least one image taking means being made on the final image surface" as now claimed. Claim 60 raises new issues because it adds an adapter optical system that was not previously required by this claim. The amended language of claim 61 raises a 112 second paragraph issue (i.e., the final images) and new issue regarding the distance between the reformed images and final images. This feature was not required by the previous claim.